arXiv:1408.3796v2 [math.AC] 20 May 2016

REMARKS ON TORSIONFREENESS AND ITS APPLICATIONS

TOKUJI ARAYA AND KEI-ICHIRO IIMA

Abstract. In this article, we shall characterize torsionfreeness of modules with respect to a semidualizing module in terms of the Serre's condition (S_n). As its applications, we give a characterization of Cohen-Macaulay rings R such that $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is Gorenstein for all prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}$ of height less than n, and we will give a partial answer of Tachikawa conjecture and Auslander-Reiten conjecture.

1. Introduction

There are many researches about maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules over Cohen-Macaulay rings from the viewpoint of Cohen-Macaulay representation theory. For instance, one can easily see that all modules over zero dimensional rings are maximal Cohen-Macaulay. It is well known results that a torsionless (resp. reflexive) module over one dimensional domains (resp. two dimensional normal domains) is coincide with a maximal Cohen-Macaulay module (c.f. [5, 18]). On the other hands, one can check that a second syzygy module of the residue class field of three dimensional normal Cohen-Macaulay local domain is not maximal Cohen-Macaulay but reflexive. Auslander and Bridger introduce a notion of n-$torsionfree$ as generalization of reflexive [1]. Evans and Griffith give a characterization of n-torsionfree modules [7].

A *semidualizing module* has been defined by Foxby [8], Vasconcelos [17] and Golod [9]. A free module of rank one and a canonical module over Cohen-Macaulay rings are typical examples of semidualizing modules.

The notion of n-C-$torsionfree$ has been introduced by Takahashi [16]. In this article, we study an n-C-torsionfreeness of modules with respect to a semidualizing module in terms of the Serre's condition (S_n). Recently, Dibaei and Sadeghi [6] give a similar property, independently.

Theorem 1.1. *Let R be a commutative noetherian ring and let M be an R-module. Assume that R satisfies the Serre's condition (S_n). Furthermore, we assume that either R satisfies (G^C_{n-1}) or $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is totally $C_{\mathfrak{p}}$-reflexive for each prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of height less than n. Then the following conditions are equivalent for M:*

(1) *M is n-C-torsionfree,*
(2) *M has an n-C-universal pushforward,*
(3) *M is n-C-syzygy,*
(4) *M satisfies $(\widetilde{S_n})$,*

Key words and phrases. Gorenstein, semidualizing module, torsionfree, syzygy, Serre's condition.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13H10, 13D02, 13D07.

The first author was partially supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) 26400056.

(5) *M satisfies (S_n).*

In Section 2, we prepare some notions and some properties to explain Theorem 1.1. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.1. In Section 4, we give applications of Theorem 1.1. The first application is to characterize Cohen-Macaulay rings R such that $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is Gorenstein for all prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}$ of height less than n. Tachikawa conjecture [15] and Auslander-Reiten conjecture [2] are long-standing conjectures which originated in Nakayama conjecture [14]. We attempt to solve Tachikawa conjecture and Auslander-Reiten conjecture as a second application. In Section 5, we give some examples of non-trivial semidualizing modules.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout the rest of this article, let R be a commutative noetherian ring. All modules are assumed to be finitely generated. In this section, we give some notions and properties with respect to a semidualizing module.

An R-module C is called *semidualizing* if the homothety map $R \to \mathrm{Hom}_R(C, C)$ is an isomorphism and if $\mathrm{Ext}^{>0}_R(C, C) = 0$. From now on, we fix a semidualizing module C and put $(-)^\dagger = \mathrm{Hom}_R(-, C)$.

Let $\cdots \to P_1 \xrightarrow{\partial} P_0 \to M \to 0$ be a projective resolution of an R-module M. We define a *C-transpose* module $\mathrm{Tr}_C M$ of M the cokernel of $P_0^\dagger \xrightarrow{\partial^\dagger} P_1^\dagger$. We remark that $\mathrm{Tr}_C M$ is uniquely determined up to direct summands of finite direct sums of copy of C. Note that if C is isomorphic to R then C-transpose coincides with ordinary (Auslander) transpose. An R-module M is called *n-C-torsionfree* if $\mathrm{Ext}^i_R(\mathrm{Tr}_C M, C) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

We denote by λ_M the natural map $M \to M^{\dagger\dagger}$. n-C-torsionfreeness has following properties similar to ordinary n-torsionfreeness [1]. One can show this by diagram chasing (c.f. [16]).

Proposition 2.1. *Let M be an R-module.*

(1) *M is 1-C-torsionfree if and only if λ_M is a monomorphism,*
(2) *M is 2-C-torsionfree if and only if λ_M is an isomorphism,*
(3) *Let $n \geq 3$. M is n-C-torsionfree if and only if λ_M is an isomorphism and if $\mathrm{Ext}^i_R(M^\dagger, C) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n-2$.*

An R-module M is called *totally C-reflexive* if the natural map λ_M is an isomorphism and if $\mathrm{Ext}^{>0}_R(M, C) = 0 = \mathrm{Ext}^{>0}_R(M^\dagger, C)$. Note that if C is isomorphic to R then the notion of totally C-reflexive coincides with the notion of ordinary totally reflexive. Each condition (2), (3) and (4) in the next lemma gives a characterization of totally C-reflexive modules. They are well-known if $C = R$. One can show this lemma similar to the case where $C = R$. This lemma plays key role to show Theorem 4.3.

Lemma 2.2. *The following conditions are equivalent for an R-module M:*

(1) *M is totally C-reflexive.*
(2) *$M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is totally $C_{\mathfrak{p}}$-reflexive for each prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$.*
(3) *There exists an exact sequence $\cdots \to P^{-1} \to P^0 \xrightarrow{\partial} P^1_C \to P^2_C \to \cdots$ such that M is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Im}\partial$, P^i is projective for each $i \leq 0$, P^i_C is a direct summand of finite direct sum of copies of C for each $i > 1$, and C-dual sequence $\cdots \to (P^2_C)^\dagger \to (P^1_C)^\dagger \to (P^0)^\dagger \to (P^{-1})^\dagger \to \cdots$ is exact.*

(4) *The syzygy module* ΩM *of* M *is totally* C*-reflexive and* $\mathrm{Ext}^1_R(M, C) = 0$.

When this is the case, we have $\operatorname{depth} M = \operatorname{depth} R$ *if* R *is local.*

An R-module M is called *n-C-syzygy* if there exists an exact sequence $0 \to M \to P^1_C \to P^2_C \to \cdots \to P^n_C$ such that each P^i_C is a direct summand of finite direct sums of copy of C. We set $\Omega^n_C(\mathrm{mod}R)$ the class of n-C-syzygy modules. Let $\sigma : 0 \to M \to P^1_C \to P^2_C \to \cdots \to P^n_C$ be a exact sequence whose P^i_C is a direct summand of finite direct sums of copy of C for each i. We say σ *n-C-universal pushforward* of M if the C-dual sequence $\sigma^\dagger$ is exact. Note that if M has an n-C-universal pushforward, then M is n-C-syzygy.

We say that an R-module M satisfies the Serre's condition (S_n) (resp. $(\widetilde{S_n})$) if $\operatorname{depth} M_\mathfrak{p} \geq \min\{n, \dim R_\mathfrak{p}\}$ (resp. $\operatorname{depth} M_\mathfrak{p} \geq \min\{n, \operatorname{depth} R_\mathfrak{p}\}$) for each prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of R. We denote by $S_n(R)$ the class of modules which satisfies (S_n). We say that R satisfies the condition (ES^C_n) provided each R-module X with $\mathrm{Ext}^{>0}_R(X, C) = 0$ satisfies $(\widetilde{S_n})$. Moreover, we say that R satisfies the condition (G^C_n) if injective dimension of $C_\mathfrak{p}$ (as an $R_\mathfrak{p}$-module) is finite for all prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of height at most n. In this case, $R_\mathfrak{p}$ is Cohen-Macaulay local ring with canonical module $C_\mathfrak{p}$ for all prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of height at most n.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we give a proof of Theorem 1.1. We can show the equivalence between (1) and (2) in the Theorem 1.1 with no assumptions.

Proposition 3.1. *The following two conditions are equivalent for an* R*-module* M*:*

(1) M *is* n*-*C*-torsionfree,*

(2) M *has an* n*-*C*-universal pushforward.*

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) We prove this by induction on n. Assume $n = 1$. Let $f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_m$ be a generating system of $M^\dagger$ and put $f = (f_1\ f_2\ \ldots\ f_m)$. Applying the C-dual to an exact sequence $R^{\oplus m} \xrightarrow{f} M^\dagger \to 0$, we obtain an exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow M^{\dagger\dagger} \xrightarrow{f^\dagger} C^{\oplus m}$. Since M is 1-C-torsionfree, λ_M is a monomorphism. Putting $g = f^\dagger\lambda_M$, we see that $0 \to M \xrightarrow{g} C^{\oplus m}$ is a 1-C-universal pushforward of M.

Assume $n \geq 2$. Since M is 1-C-torsionfree, M has a 1-C-universal pushforward $0 \to M \xrightarrow{g} P^1_C$. Putting $N = \mathrm{Coker}g$, we have a following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & P^1_C & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \lambda_M} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \lambda_{P^1_C}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \lambda_N} & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & M^{\dagger\dagger} & \longrightarrow & P^{1\dagger\dagger}_C & \longrightarrow & N^{\dagger\dagger} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Ext}^1_R(M^\dagger, C) \longrightarrow 0.
\end{array}$$

Since $\mathrm{Ext}^i_R(N^\dagger, C) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^{i+1}_R(M^\dagger, C)$ for each $i > 0$, N is $(n-1)$-C-torsionfree. By induction assumption, N has an $(n-1)$-C-universal pushforward $0 \to N \to P^2_C \to \cdots \to P^n_C$. Then, $0 \to M \to P^1_C \to P^2_C \to \cdots \to P^n_C$ is an n-C-universal pushforward of M.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Since M has a 1-C-universal pushforward, there exists a short exact sequence $0 \to M \to P_C \to N \to 0$ such that P_C is a direct summand of finite direct sums of copy of C and that the C-dual sequence $0 \to N^\dagger \to P^\dagger_C \to M^\dagger \to 0$ is

exact. Then we obtain a following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & P_C & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \lambda_M} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \lambda_{P_C}}\cong & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \lambda_N} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M^{\dagger\dagger} & \longrightarrow & P_C^{\dagger\dagger} & \longrightarrow & N^{\dagger\dagger} & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Ext}^1_R(M^\dagger, C) \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Note that $\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(N^\dagger, C) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^{i+1}_R(M^\dagger, C)$ for each $i > 0$.

Now, we shall show that M is n-C-torsionfree by induction on n. We remark that λ_M is a monomorphism by the five lemma. Thus M is 1-C-torsionfree.

Assume $n \geq 2$. Since N has an $(n-1)$-C-universal pushforward, N is $(n-1)$-C-torsionfree by induction assumption. This yield that M is n-C-torsionfree. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The implication (2) ⇒ (3) is obvious. Since $\operatorname{depth} C_\mathfrak{p} = \operatorname{depth} R_\mathfrak{p}$ for all prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$, one can check the implication (3) ⇒ (4) by using depth lemma. R satisfies (S_n) by the assumption, we can see that (4) and (5) are equivalent. Therefore, it is enough to prove the implication (5) ⇒ (1). We prove the implication (5) ⇒ (1) by induction on n. Assume $n = 1$. Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be an associated prime ideal of M. Since M satisfies (S_1), we have $\dim R_\mathfrak{p} = 0$. If R satisfies (G_0^C), then $C_\mathfrak{p}$ is a canonical $R_\mathfrak{p}$-module. Therefore $M_\mathfrak{p}$ is totally $C_\mathfrak{p}$-reflexive and we have $\operatorname{Hom}_R(M, C)_\mathfrak{p} \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{R_\mathfrak{p}}(M_\mathfrak{p}, C_\mathfrak{p}) \neq 0$. In particular, $\operatorname{Hom}_R(M, C) \neq 0$.

Let $f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_m$ be a generating system of $\operatorname{Hom}(M, C)$ and put $f =^t (f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_m) : M \to C^{\oplus m}$. Suppose that $N = \ker f$ is not zero. Let $\mathfrak{q}$ be an associated prime ideal of N. Since $\mathfrak{q}$ is also an associated prime ideal of M, we have $\dim R_\mathfrak{q} = 0$. Noting that $C_\mathfrak{q}$ is canonical module over $R_\mathfrak{q}$, we have that $f_\mathfrak{q}$ is a monomorphism. This yields that $N_\mathfrak{q} = 0$. This contradicts that $\mathfrak{q}$ is an associated prime ideal of N. Hence f is a monomorphism.

Since $f^{\dagger\dagger}\lambda_M = \lambda_{C^{\oplus m}} f$ is a monomorphism, we obtain that λ_M is a monomorphism. This means that M is 1-C-torsionfree.

Assume $n \geq 2$. Since M satisfies (S_1), M is 1-C-torsionfree. In particular, M has a 1-C-universal pushforward $0 \to M \to P_C \to N \to 0$ by Proposition 3.1. Then we get a following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & P_C & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \lambda_M} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \lambda_{P_C}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \lambda_N} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M^{\dagger\dagger} & \longrightarrow & P_C^{\dagger\dagger} & \longrightarrow & N^{\dagger\dagger} & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Ext}^1_R(M^\dagger, C) \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Note that $\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(N^\dagger, C) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^{i+1}_R(M^\dagger, C)$ for each $i > 0$. By induction assumption, it is enough to prove that N satisfies (S_{n-1}) and that $N_\mathfrak{p}$ is totally $C_\mathfrak{p}$-reflexive for all prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of height less than $n-1$.

Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a prime ideal. If $\dim R_\mathfrak{p} \geq n$, we have $\operatorname{depth} M_\mathfrak{p} \geq \min\{n, \dim R_\mathfrak{p}\} = n$. Therefore we obtain $\operatorname{depth} N_\mathfrak{p} \geq n-1$ by depth lemma.

Assume $\dim R_\mathfrak{p} \leq n-1$. If R satisfies (G^C_{n-1}), $R_\mathfrak{p}$ is Cohen-Macaulay with canonical module $C_\mathfrak{p}$. Inequalities $\operatorname{depth} M_\mathfrak{p} \geq \min\{n, \dim R_\mathfrak{p}\} = \dim R_\mathfrak{p} = \operatorname{depth} R_\mathfrak{p}$ gives that $M_\mathfrak{p}$ is a maximal Cohen-Macaulay $R_\mathfrak{p}$-module. In particular, $M_\mathfrak{p}$ is totally $C_\mathfrak{p}$-reflexive. Thus so are $(M_\mathfrak{p})^{\dagger_\mathfrak{p}}$, $R_\mathfrak{p}$ and $(N_\mathfrak{p})^{\dagger_\mathfrak{p}}$.

It comes from a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & M_{\mathfrak{p}} & \longrightarrow & (P_C)_{\mathfrak{p}} & \longrightarrow & N_{\mathfrak{p}} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & {\scriptstyle\lambda_{M_{\mathfrak{p}}}}\downarrow\cong & & {\scriptstyle\lambda_{(P_C)_{\mathfrak{p}}}}\downarrow\cong & & {\scriptstyle\lambda_{N_{\mathfrak{p}}}}\downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & (M_{\mathfrak{p}})^{\dagger_{\mathfrak{p}}\dagger_{\mathfrak{p}}} & \longrightarrow & (P_C)_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\dagger_{\mathfrak{p}}\dagger_{\mathfrak{p}}} & \longrightarrow & (N_{\mathfrak{p}})^{\dagger_{\mathfrak{p}}\dagger_{\mathfrak{p}}} & \longrightarrow & 0, \end{array}$$

we can see that $\lambda_{N_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ is an isomorphism and that $N_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong (N_{\mathfrak{p}})^{\dagger_{\mathfrak{p}}\dagger_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ is totally $C_{\mathfrak{p}}$-reflexive. Therefore we have $\operatorname{depth} N_{\mathfrak{p}} = \operatorname{depth} R_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq \min\{n, \dim R_{\mathfrak{p}}\}$. Hence N satisfies (S_{n-1}). □

This Theorem gives following corollary.

Corollary 3.2. *Let C and C' be semidualizing R-modules. Assume that R satisfies the conditions (S_n), (G^C_{n-1}) and $(G^{C'}_{n-1})$. Then the following conditions are equivalent for an R-module M.*

(1) *M is n-C-torsionfree,*
(2) *M is n-C'-torsionfree,*
(3) *M is n-C-syzygy,*
(4) *M is n-C'-syzygy.*

4. Applications

In this section, we give some applications of Theorem 1.1. The first application is to characterize Cohen-Macaulay rings R such that $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is Gorenstein for all prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}$ of height less than n. We attempt to solve Tachikawa conjecture and some homological conjectures as a second application.

4.1. **Locally Gorensteinness.** Theorem 4.1 gives a characterization Cohen-Macaulay rings that is locally Gorenstein in terms of the Serre's condition.

Theorem 4.1. *Let R be a Cohen-Macaulay ring with a canonical module ω. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) *R satisfies (G^C_{n-1}),*
(2) *$S_n(R) = \Omega^n_C(\mathrm{mod}R)$,*
(3) *$\omega \in \Omega^n_C(\mathrm{mod}R)$.*

Proof. If $n = 0$, then three statements hold. We assume that $n > 0$.

(1) ⇒ (2) It is obvious by Theorem 1.1.
(2) ⇒ (3) A canonical module ω satisfies (S_n), so we have $\omega \in \Omega^n_C(\mathrm{mod}R)$.
(3) ⇒ (1) There is an exact sequence

$$0 \to \omega \to P^1_C \to P^2_C \to \cdots \to P^n_C \to M \to 0$$

such that each P^i_C is a direct summand of direct sum of finite copy of C. For any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of height less than n, $(\Omega^{n-1}_C M)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a maximal Cohen-Macaulay $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$-module. Then the exact sequence $0 \to \omega_{\mathfrak{p}} \to (P^1_C)_{\mathfrak{p}} \to (\Omega^{n-1}_C M)_{\mathfrak{p}} \to 0$ splits. This indicates $\omega_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong C_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Thus we have $\mathrm{id}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} C_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathrm{id}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} \omega_{\mathfrak{p}} < \infty$. □

Theorem 4.1 recovers a result of Leuschke and Weigand [13, Lemma 1.4] by taking as $C = R$ and $n = d$.

Corollary 4.2. *Let R be a d-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring with a canonical module ω. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) *R satisfies the (G^R_{d-1}),*

(2) *any maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules are d-th syzygy,*
(3) *ω is d-th syzygy.*

4.2. **Some homological conjectures.** In this subsection, we give a partial answer of Tachikawa conjecture and Auslander-Reiten conjecture as an another application of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 4.3. *We set $d = \sup\{ \operatorname{depth} R_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} R \}$, and assume that $0 < d < \infty$. Moreover, we assume that R satisfies the conditions (S_d) and (ES_1^C). If $\operatorname{Ext}^{>0}(M, C) = 0$, then M is totally C-reflexive.*

Proof. We show that $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is totally $C_{\mathfrak{p}}$-reflexive by induction on $\operatorname{ht}\mathfrak{p}$. Since R satisfies (G_0^C), the case where $\operatorname{ht}\mathfrak{p} = 0$ is obvious. Next, we consider the case where $\operatorname{ht}\mathfrak{p} > 0$. By localizing at $\mathfrak{p}$, we may assume followings:

R is a noetherian local ring of $\operatorname{depth} d > 0$. R satisfies (S_d) and (ES_1^C). M is an R-module such that $\operatorname{Ext}_R^{>0}(M, C) = 0$ and that $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is totally $C_{\mathfrak{p}}$ reflexive for all nonmaximal prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$.

By replacing M by ΩM if we need, we may assume $\operatorname{depth} M = d$. Since R satisfies (S_d) and since $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is totally $C_{\mathfrak{p}}$ reflexive for all nonmaximal ideal $\mathfrak{p}$, we have $\operatorname{depth} M_{\mathfrak{p}} = \operatorname{depth} R_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq \min\{d, \dim R_{\mathfrak{p}}\}$. Therefore M satisfies (S_d). By Theorem 1.1, M has an n-C-universal pushforward $0 \to M \to F_C^1 \to \cdots \to F_C^d$. Putting $M_0 = M$ and divide this exact sequence to $0 \to M_{i-1} \to F_C^i \to M_i \to 0$ for each $i = 1, 2, \ldots, d$. Then one can check that $\operatorname{Ext}_R^{>0}(M_i, C) = 0$ for all $i = 0, 1, \ldots, d$.

Suppose that there exists i such that $\operatorname{depth} M_i < d$. Take such i minimal, we can assume that M_{i-1} satisfies (S_d). By replacing M by M_{i-1}, we may assume $\operatorname{depth} M_1 = d - 1$. In this case, we have $\operatorname{depth} M_d = d - d = 0$ by depth lemma and this contradicts to (ES_1^C). Hence we get that $\operatorname{depth} M_i \geq d$ and that M_i satisfies (S_d) for every i.

We apply above argument repeatedly, we get the following exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow F_C^1 \longrightarrow F_C^2 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_C^d \longrightarrow F_C^{d+1} \longrightarrow F_C^{d+2} \longrightarrow \cdots$$
$$\qquad\qquad\qquad

[11, Corollary 4.5]. By using these results, we get the following corollary that is a partial result of the Auslander-Reiten conjecture.

Corollary 4.6. *Let R be an almost Gorenstein Cohen-Macaulay local ring that is not Gorenstein and let M be an R-module. Assume that R satisfies (ES_1^R). If $\mathrm{Ext}_R^{>0}(M,R)=0$, then M is free.*

5. Examples of semidualizing modules

In this section, we give examples of non-trivial semidualizing modules. Jorgensen, Leuschke and Sather-Wagstaff [12] have been determined the structure of rings which admits non-trivial semidualizing modules.

Theorem 5.1. [12, Theorem 1.1, Theorem 3.1] *Let R be a local Cohen-Macaulay ring with a canonical module. Then R admits a semidualizing module that is neither canonical module nor R if and only if there exist a Gorenstein local ring S and ideals $I_1, I_2 \subset S$ satisfying the following conditions:*

(1) *There is a ring isomorphism $R \cong S/(I_1+I_2)$,*
(2) *For $j=1,2$ the quotient ring S/I_j is Cohen-Macaulay and not Gorenstein,*
(3) *For all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have the following vanishing of Tate cohomology modules:*

$$\widehat{\mathrm{Tor}}_i^S(S/I_1, S/I_2) = 0 = \widehat{\mathrm{Ext}}_S^i(S/I_1, S/I_2),$$

(4) *There exists an integer c such that $\mathrm{Ext}_S^c(S/I_1, S/I_2)$ is not cyclic,*
(5) *For all $i \geq 1$, we have $\mathrm{Tor}_i^S(S/I_1, S/I_2)=0$; in particular, there is an equality $I_1 \cap I_2 = I_1 I_2$.*

Then $C = \mathrm{Ext}_S^{\dim S - \dim R}(R,S)$ is a semidualizing module that is neither R nor canonical module.

We give a class of Cohen-Macaulay local rings R which have a non-trivial semidualizing module C by using this result. Moreover, $C_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a canonical $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$-module for all non-maximal prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of R.

Proposition 5.2. *Let k be a field and $T = k[[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m, y_1, y_2]]$ be a formal power series ring. For $f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_r \in k[[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m]]$ and $\ell \geq 2$, we set ideals $I_1 = (f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_r)T$ and $I_2 = (y_1, y_2)^\ell T$. Assume that $S = T/I_1$ is a $(d+2)$-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay ring which is not Gorenstein and that S satisfies (G_{n+2}^S). Putting $R = S/I_2$ and $C = \mathrm{Ext}_S^2(R,S)$, then the followings hold:*

(1) *R is d-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay ring,*
(2) *C is neither R nor canonical R-module,*
(3) *R satisfies (G_n^C).*

Proof. (1) is clear. (2) is comes from Theorem 5.1. We show (3). Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a prime ideal of R with height at most n. Since $P = \mathfrak{p}S$ is a prime ideal of S with height at most $n+2$, we have that $S_{\mathfrak{p}} = S_P$ is Gorenstein. Therefore $C_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathrm{Ext}_{S_P}^2(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, S_P)$ is a canonical $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$-module. □

In the end of this article, we give examples of d-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay rings R and semidualizing module C such that R satisfies (G_{d-1}^C) but not (G_n^R) for all n.

Example 5.3. Let k be a field.

(1) Let $S = k[[x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2]]/(x_2^2 - x_1x_3, x_2x_3, x_3^2)$ be a 3-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring which is not Gorenstein. We set $R = S/(y_1^2, y_1y_2, y_2^2)$ which

is a 1-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring. Note that all the prime ideals of R are $\mathfrak{p} = (x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2)$ and $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2)$. It is easy to see that $S_\mathfrak{p}$ is Gorenstein but $R_\mathfrak{p}$ is not Gorenstein. In particular, R does not satisfy (G_0^R). Putting $C = \mathrm{Ext}_S^2(R, S)$, one can check that C is a semidualizing R-module which is neither R nor canonical module. Since $S_\mathfrak{p}$ is Gorenstein, we can see that $C_\mathfrak{p}$ is a canonical module over $R_\mathfrak{p}$. This yield that R satisfies (G_0^C).

(2) Let $S = k[[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, y_1, y_2]]/(x_2^2 - x_1x_3, x_2x_3 - x_1x_4, x_3^2 - x_2x_4)$ be a 4-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local normal domain which is not Gorenstein. We set $R = S/(y_1^2, y_1y_2, y_2^2)$ which is a 2-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring. For each prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ except for $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, y_1, y_2)$, we easily show that $S_\mathfrak{p}$ is regular. But for the unique minimal prime ideal $\mathfrak{q} = (x_2^2 - x_1x_3, x_2x_3 - x_1x_4, x_3^2 - x_2x_4, y_1, y_2)$, $R_\mathfrak{q}$ is isomorphic to $K[[y_1, y_2]]_{(y_1,y_2)}/(y_1^2, y_1y_2, y_2^2)$, where K is a field. Thus R does not satisfy (G_0^R) and therefore R does not satisfy (G_n^R) for every n. Putting $C = \mathrm{Ext}_S^2(R, S)$, one can check that C is a semidualizing R-module which is neither R nor canonical module. Since $S_\mathfrak{p}$ is regular, we can see that $C_\mathfrak{p}$ is a canonical module over $R_\mathfrak{p}$. This yield that R satisfies (G_1^C).

(3) Let $S = k[[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, y_1, y_2]]/(x_2^2 - x_1x_4, x_2x_3 - x_1x_5, x_3^2 - x_1x_6, x_3x_4 - x_2x_5, x_3x_5 - x_2x_6, x_5^2 - x_4x_6)$ be a 5-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local domain with an isolated singularity which is not Gorenstein. We set $R = S/(y_1^2, y_1y_2, y_2^2)$ which is a 3-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring. For each prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ except for $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, y_1, y_2)$, we easily show that $S_\mathfrak{p}$ is regular. But for the unique minimal prime ideal $\mathfrak{q} = (x_2^2 - x_1x_4, x_2x_3 - x_1x_5, x_3^2 - x_1x_6, x_3x_4 - x_2x_5, x_3x_5 - x_2x_6, x_5^2 - x_4x_6, y_1, y_2)$, $R_\mathfrak{q}$ is isomorphic to $K[[y_1, y_2]]_{(y_1,y_2)}/(y_1^2, y_1y_2, y_2^2)$, where K is a field. Thus R does not satisfy (G_0^R) and therefore R does not satisfy (G_n^R) for every n. Putting $C = \mathrm{Ext}_S^2(R, S)$, one can check that C is a semidualizing R-module which is neither R nor canonical module. Since $S_\mathfrak{p}$ is regular, we can see that $C_\mathfrak{p}$ is a canonical module over $R_\mathfrak{p}$. This yield that R satisfies (G_2^C).

References

[1] M. Auslander; M. Bridger, Stable module theory, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, No. 94, *American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I.*, 1969.

[2] M. Auslander; I. Reiten, On a generalized version of the Nakayama conjecture, —em Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **52** (1975), 69–74.

[3] L. L. Avramov; R.-O. Buchweitz; L. M. Şega, Extensions of a dualizing complex by its ring: commutative versions of a conjecture of Tachikawa, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **201** (2005), no. 1-3, 218–239.

[4] V. Barucci; R. Fröberg, One-dimensional almost Gorenstein rings, *J. Algebra*, **188** (1997), 418–442.

[5] W. Bruns; J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings.* Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **39**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.

[6] M. T. Dibaei; A Sadeghi, Linkage of modules and the Serre conditions, preprint (2014), `http://arxiv.org/abs/1407.6544`

[7] E. G. Evans; P. Griffith, *Syzygies*, London mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 106, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1985.

[8] H.-B. Foxby, Gorenstein modules and related modules, *Math. Scand.* **31** (1972), 267–284 (1973).

[9] E. S. Golod, G-dimension and generalized perfect ideals, Algebraic geometry and its applications, *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov.* **165** (1984), 62–66.

[10] S. Goto; N. Matsuoka; T. T. Phuong, Almost Gorenstein rings. *J. Algebra* **379** (2013), 355–381.

[11] S. Goto; R. Takahashi; N. Taniguchi, Almost Gorenstein rings -towards a theory of higher dimension, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **219** (2015), 2666–2712.
[12] D. A. Jorgensen; G. J. Leuschke; S. Sather-Wagstaff, Presentations of rings with non-trivial semidualizing modules, *Collect. Math.* **63** (2012), 165–180.
[13] G. Leuschke; R. Wiegand, Ascent of finite Cohen-Macaulay type. *J. Algebra* **228** (2000), no. 2, 674–681.
[14] T. Nakayama, On algebras with complete homology, *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg* **22** (1958) 300–307.
[15] H. Tachikawa, *Quasi-Frobenius rings and generalizations, QF-3 and QF-1 rings*, Lecture Notes Mathematics, vol. 351, Springer, Berlin, 1973.
[16] R. Takahashi, A new approximation theory which unifies spherical and Cohen-Macaulay approximations, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* **208** (2007), 617–634.
[17] W. V. Vasconcelos, Divisor theory in module categories, *North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam*, 1974, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, No. 14, Notas de Matemática No. 53.
[18] Y. Yoshino, *Cohen-Macaulay modules over Cohen-Macaulay rings*, London mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 146, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.

Department of Applied Science, Faculty of Science, Okayama University of Science, Ridaicho, Kitaku, Okayama 700-0005, Japan.
E-mail address: araya@das.ous.ac.jp

Department of Liberal Studies, National Institute of Technology, Nara College, 22 Yata-cho, Yamatokoriyama, Nara 639-1080, Japan.
E-mail address: iima@libe.nara-k.ac.jp